51. (a) Since the resolving power of a grating is given by  $R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda$  and by Nm, the range of wavelengths that can just be resolved in order m is  $\Delta\lambda = \lambda/Nm$ . Here N is the number of rulings in the grating and  $\lambda$  is the average wavelength. The frequency f is related to the wavelength by  $f\lambda = c$ , where c is the speed of light. This means  $f \Delta\lambda + \lambda \Delta f = 0$ , so

$$\Delta \lambda = -\frac{\lambda}{f} \, \Delta f = -\frac{\lambda^2}{c} \, \Delta f$$

where  $f = c/\lambda$  is used. The negative sign means that an increase in frequency corresponds to a decrease in wavelength. We may interpret  $\Delta f$  as the range of frequencies that can be resolved and take it to be positive. Then,  $\lambda^2 = \lambda$ 

and

$$\frac{\lambda}{c} \Delta f = \frac{\lambda}{Nm}$$
$$\Delta f = \frac{c}{Nm\lambda} \; .$$

(b) The difference in travel time for waves traveling along the two extreme rays is  $\Delta t = \Delta L/c$ , where  $\Delta L$  is the difference in path length. The waves originate at slits that are separated by (N-1)d, where d is the slit separation and N is the number of slits, so the path difference is  $\Delta L = (N-1)d\sin\theta$  and the time difference is

$$\Delta t = \frac{(N-1)d\sin\theta}{c} \; .$$

If N is large, this may be approximated by  $\Delta t = (Nd/c)\sin\theta$ . The lens does not affect the travel time.

(c) Substituting the expressions we derived for  $\Delta t$  and  $\Delta f$ , we obtain

$$\Delta f \Delta t = \left(\frac{c}{Nm\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{Nd\sin\theta}{c}\right) = \frac{d\sin\theta}{m\lambda} = 1$$
.

The condition  $d\sin\theta = m\lambda$  for a diffraction line is used to obtain the last result.